シーエローじつ

| Introduced by: | Gary Grant | | |
|----------------|------------|---|--|
| | | | |
| Proposed No. | 81-834 | • | |

| ORDINANCE | NO. |
|-----------|-----|

AN ORDINANCE granting an appeal from the recommendation of the Zoning and Subdivision Examiner and denying the application for preliminary approval of the Planned Unit Development, petitioned by Panther Lake North, designated Building and Land Development File No. 200-82-P.

6344

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE COUNCIL OF KING COUNTY:

SECTION 1. This Ordinance does hereby reverse the findings and conclusions contained in the report of the Zoning and Subdivision Examiner dated October 8, 1982, which was filed with the Clerk of the Council on October 28, 1982, to grant preliminary approval, subject to conditions (modified), to the application for a planned unit development petitioned by PANTHER LAKE NORIH, designated by the Building and Land Development Division, Department of Planning and Community Development, File No. 200-82-P.

SECTION 2. The King County Council makes the following findings and conclusions:

- 1. The sensitive areas map folio indicates on Map No. 5 that the east edge of the subject property is within a Class III seismic hazard area. In addition, the eastern third of the subject property lies within the 100 year flood plain of Panther Lake. The low flat area of the subject property is approximately one-half of the site and is a designated wetland within a shoreline management area used by anadromous fish. The Audubon Society has reported 60 species of birds on the site, including several which are unadaptable to human habitation.
- 2. The access along S.E. 200th St. is inadequate for an additional 400 to 600 automobile trips per day. In addition, the Benson Highway adjacent to this site is at capacity and cannot adequately serve added traffic from the proposed planned unit development.
- 3. The proposed development will have a material detrimental impact on the environment and development at a lesser density would be more appropriate on this sensitive site.
- 4. In view of the incompatibility of the proposed Planned Unit Development of Panther Lake North with surrounding wetlands and wildlife habitat, inadequate access and the environmental impact of the Planned Unit Development, it is concluded that the Examiner has erred in recommending

-1-

1 2

3

5

6

8

7

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

17

18

16

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

28

27

29 30

31 32

33

| approval of the Planned Unit Development of Panther Lake North. | |
|--|----------|
| SECTION 3. The King County Council does hereby deny the application | |
| for a Planned Unit Development petitioned by PANTHER LAKE NORTH. | |
| INTRODUCED AND READ for the first time this day of | |
| December, 1981. | |
| PASSED this 14th day of march , 1983 | <u> </u> |
| KING COUNTY COUNCIL | |
| KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON | |
| Dave Land | |
| Chāirman | |
| ATTEST: | |
| | |
| Deputy Clerk of the Council | |
| A Company of the Comp | |
| APPROVED this 30th day of March, 1983 | <u>.</u> |
| | |
| Landy (well | |
| King County Axecutive | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |